

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHAVOTNAE GOLDSBY,

No. C-07-5604 MMC

Plaintiff,

**ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO MODIFY  
PRETRIAL PREPARATION ORDER**

v.

ADECCO, INC.,

Defendant

Before the Court is plaintiff's Motion to Modify Pretrial Preparation Order ("Motion to Modify"), filed October 31, 2008, by which plaintiff seeks to extend each remaining deadline and date, including the trial date, approximately five to six months. Defendant has not filed opposition or otherwise responded to the motion. Having read and considered the motion, the Court, as set forth below, finds it appropriate to continue the hearing on plaintiff's Motion to Modify.

Plaintiff's request for a continuance is premised on the Court's granting her motion for class certification, which motion is set for hearing on December 19, 2008.<sup>1</sup> Under such circumstances, it is premature to consider plaintiff's Motion to Modify in advance of a determination as to whether it is appropriate to certify a class, and, accordingly, the hearing


---

<sup>1</sup>Plaintiff does not assert good cause exists to modify any deadline or date if her motion for class certification is not granted.

1 on plaintiff's Motion to Modify is hereby CONTINUED from December 5, 2008 to December  
2 19, 2008.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5 Dated: December 3, 2008

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge